Case 2:03-cr-00534-KJM Document 176 Filed 01/27/09 Page 1 of 3

1	LAW OFFICES OF SCOTT L. TEDMON	
2	A Professional Corporation SCOTT L. TEDMON, CA. BAR # 96171	
	717 K Street, Suite 227	
3	Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 441-4540	
4	Facsimile: (916) 441-4760	
5	Email: tedmonlaw@comcast.net	
6	Attorney for Defendant TROY URIE	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE EASTERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
LO	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
L1	Plaintiff,) No. CR. S-03-534 FCD
L2) STIPULATION AND ORDER
L3	V.) TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE AND FINDING
L4	TROY URIE,) OF EXCLUDABLE TIME)
L5	Defendant.))
L6	The Huited Classes of A) Suigh Assistant IIS Attornay Haika Co

The United States of America, through Assistant U.S. Attorney Heiko Coppola, and defendant Troy Urie, through his counsel Scott L. Tedmon, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. The current status conference in this case is set for February 2, 2009 at 10:00 a.m.
- 2. In this case, the Court has previously excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act through February 2, 2009 under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv), [Local Code T2 and T4], complex case and counsel's need for time to prepare.
- 3. This case is complex in that the Indictment charges facts which involve multiple jurisdictions, some located across the United States. Additionally, there are legal issues involving alleged conduct in other federal jurisdictions which need to be reviewed, researched and involve the likelihood of litigation. Specifically, defendant Urie is currently represented by attorney John Balazs in his appeal to the Ninth Circuit from his conviction arising out of the Northern District of California. As defense counsel understands it, the facts presented by the government in the Northern

- 1 -

Case 2:03-cr-00534-KJM Document 176 Filed 01/27/09 Page 2 of 3

District conviction included conduct charged in this case. In reviewing both the Northern District and Eastern District cases with defendant Urie and attorney John Balazs, the issue of collateral estoppel may need to be litigated in both the Ninth Circuit appeal and in the case at bar. This is a rather complex issue and counsel needs additional time to research the issue.

In addition to this legal issue, the defense is continuing to conduct their investigation and factual review of the case. Based on these factors, the parties stipulate that the Court's finding of complexity and counsel's need for time to prepare pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv) is appropriate.

- 4. The parties stipulate and agree that the Court should reiterate its previous finding that time should be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv), [Local Code T2 and T4], and that the ends of justice therefore outweigh the best interest of the public in a speedy trial.
- 5. Accordingly, it is hereby stipulated and the parties agree that the date for the status conference in this matter be continued to March 30, 2009 at 10:00 a.m., and that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv), [Local Code T2 and T4], in that this case is complex, counsel for the parties need time to prepare, and that the ends of justice outweigh the best interest of the public in a speedy trial.
 - 6. Michele Krueger has approved the requested court date.
- 7. Scott L. Tedmon has been authorized by the government's counsel to sign this stipulation on his behalf.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2.0

22	DATED: January 27, 2009	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney
23		·
24		/s/ Heiko Coppola HEIKO COPPOLA Assistant United States Attorney
25	DATED: Issues 27, 2000	•
26	DATED: January 27, 2009	LAW OFFICE OF SCOTT L. TEDMON
27		/s/ Scott L. Tedmon SCOTT L. TEDMON Attorney for Defendant Troy Urie
28		Attorney for Defendant Troy one

Case 2:03-cr-00534-KJM Document 176 Filed 01/27/09 Page 3 of 3

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	GOOD CAUSE APPEARING and based upon the above stipulation, the Court reiterates its	
3	previous finding that time be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C.	
4	§3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv), [Local Code T2 and T4], in that the case is complex, that counsel needs	
5	additional time to prepare, and that the ends of justice therefore outweigh the best interest of the	
6	public in a speedy trial. Accordingly,	
7	IT IS ORDERED that this matter is continued to March 30, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., for further	
8	Status Conference.	
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv), [Local	
10	Code T2 and T4] that the period from February 2, 2009, to and including March 30, 2009, is	
11	excluded from the time computations required by the Speedy Trial Act.	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13 14 15	DATED: January 27, 2009 FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28